PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTAL (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

REC'D 23 J	AN 2006
------------	---------

PCT WIPO

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTIO	N S	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
020113WO	International filing date (day)	(month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
International application No.		,	09 January 2002 (09.01.2002)
PCT/US03/00495 International Patent Classification (IPC)	07 January 2003 (07.01.2003		09 January 2002 (09.01.2002)
IPC(7): H04B 3/36, 7/14 and US Cl.: 455	0/1, 9, 23, 11, 16, 13, 10, 24, 37	0/313, 322	
Applicant ON A DICORDOR ATED			
QUALCOMM INCORPORATED	1 1: oromina	tion report establis	hed by this International Preliminary
Examining Authority unde	er Article 35 and transmitted	to the applicant acc	ording to Article 50.
	a total of sheets, including		
	panied by ANNEXES, comp		
a. [] (sent to the applica	ant and to the International	Bureau) a total of $_$	sheets, as follows:
sheets of the	description, claims and/or	drawings which hav	ve been amended and are the basis of
and Section	607 of the Administrative In	istructions).	ed by this Authority (see Rule 70.16
-heata wihial	a cumercede earlier sheets h	ut which this Autho	ority considers contain an amendment
that goes be	yond the disclosure in the in	nternational applica	tion as filed, as indicated in item 4 of
Box No. I as	nd the Supplemental Box.	-1 of (indicate type (and number of electronic carrier(s))
	ing a geguence listing and	lor tables related	thereto, in electronic form only, as
indicated in th	e Supplemental Box Rel	ating to Sequence	Listing (see Section 802 of the
Administrative I	nstructions).		
4. This report contains indic	cations relating to the follow	ing items:	
·	Basis of the report		
Box No. II	Priority		
Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinio	n with regard to no	velty, inventive step and industrial
Box No. III	applicability	•	
	Lack of unity of invention		
Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
	Certain documents cited		
Box No. VII	Certain defects in the intern	ational application	
Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the		
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion	of this report
07.4 2003 (07.08.3003)		17 January 2006 (17	.01.2006)
07 August 2003 (07.08.2003) Name and mailing address of the IPEA/ US		Authorized officer	
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US		Vannath Windon	Dun: KMAN
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450		Venueni Miedel	Lugenio Jogan
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450		Telephone No. 571-	Rugerio Zogan

Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201
Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(April 2005)

nternational	application	No.

PCT/US03/00495

BOX No.	1 Basis of the report
1. With	regard to the language, this report is based on:
\boxtimes	the international application in the language in which it was filed.
	a translation of the international application into <u>English</u> , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:
	international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))
	publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4(a))
	international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))
to the	regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not seed to this report):
\boxtimes	the international application as originally filed/furnished
\boxtimes	the description:
	pages 1-20 as originally filed/furnished pages* NONE received by this Authority on
	pages* NONE received by this Authority on pages* NONE received by this Authority on
K 7	
\boxtimes	the claims: pages 21-35 as originally filed/furnished
	pages 21-35 as originally filed/furnished pages* NONE as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
	pages* NONE received by this Authority on
	pages* NONE received by this Authority on
\square	· ·
	the drawings: pages 1-14 as originally filed/furnished
	pages* NONE received by this Authority on
	pages* NONE received by this Authority on
	a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.
3.	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
	the description, pages
	the claims, Nos.
	the drawings, sheets/figs
	the sequence listing (specify):
	any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):
4.	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
	the description, pages
	the claims, Nos.
	the drawings, sheets/figs
	the sequence listing (specify):
	any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):
	
* T.C : 4 -	and applies some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."

International application No. PCT/US03/00495

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Art applicability; citations and exp	icle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial lanations supporting such statement	<u></u>
1. Statemen	nt		
7	Novelty (N)	Claims Please See Continuation Sheet	YES
•	NOVERY (IV)	Claims Please See Continuation Sheet	NO
	Incompliant Stop (IS)	Claims Please See Continuation Sheet	YES
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims Please See Continuation Sheet	NO	
	T 1 / 1 Alinchility (TA)	Claims Please See Continuation Sheet	YES
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims Please See Continuation Sheet	NO	

2. Citations and Explanations (Rule 70.7) Please See Continuation Sheet

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. V) (April 2005)

International application No. PCT/US03/00495

Supi	plemental	Box
------	-----------	-----

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of:

The opinion as to Novelty was positive (Yes) with respect to claims 3,5,9-14,22,25,28-32,39,41-47,60-64,70-74,77-82 The opinion as to Novelty was negative (No) with respect to claims 1,2,4,6-8,15-21,23,24,26,27,33-38,40,48-59,65-69,75,76 The opinion as to Inventive Step was positive (Yes) with respect to claims 3,5,9,11-14,22,25,29-32,39,41,42,44-47,61-64,71-74,78-82 The opinion as to Inventive Step was negative(NO) with respect to claims 1,2,4,6-8,10,15-21,23,24,26-28,33-38,40,43,48-60,65-70,75-

The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was positive (YES) with respect to claims 1-82 The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was negative(NO) with respect to claims NONE

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-8, 15-21, 23, 24, 26, 27, 33-38, 40, 48-59, 65-69, 75 and 76 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Durrant et al. (WO 01/99444).

Consider 1. Durrant teaches a method of monitoring communications traffic, comprising the steps of: receiving at least one of a plurality of signal transmissions wherein each of the signal transmissions is associated with a call associated with one of a plurality of remote stations; processing the plurality of received signal transmissions to identify received transmissions that include a discriminant applied by a repeater; and designating each of the plurality of received signal transmissions as being transmitted via the repeater if the received signal transmission includes the discriminant. As to claim 2, Durrant teaches the method further comprising the step of: associating the designated signal transmission with a monitoring characteristic.

As to claim 4, Durrant teaches the method wherein the step of designating each of the plurality of received signal transmissions as being transmitted via the repeater if the received signal transmission includes the discriminant comprises the step of: designating each of the plurality of received signal transmissions as being transmitted via the repeater if the received signal transmission includes the discriminant and the discriminant includes a signature associated with the repeater.

As to claim 6, Durrant teaches the method of claim 4, wherein the discriminant comprises a frequency modulation applied to the signal transmission.

As to claim 7, Durrant teaches the method of claim 4, wherein the discriminant comprises an amplitude modulation applied to the signal transmission.

As to claim 15, Durrant teaches the method wherein the plurality of signal transmissions are reverse link, i.e. uplink transmissions and the discriminant comprises a reverse link discriminant.

As to claim 16, Durrant teaches the method wherein the plurality of signal transmissions are received in a base station, i.e. uplink. As to claim 17, Durrant teaches the method wherein the plurality of signal transmissions are forward link, i.e. downlink transmissions

and the discriminant comprises a forward link discriminant. As to claim 18, Durrant teaches the method wherein the plurality of signal transmissions are received in a remote station, i.e.

As to claim 19, Durrant teaches the method wherein the step of designating each of the plurality of received signal transmissions as being transmitted via the repeater if the received signal transmission includes a discriminant comprises the steps of: transmitting a signal having the forward link discriminant to a base station', and processing the signal having the forward link discriminant in the base station to identify received transmissions that include the forward link discriminant.

International application No. PCT/US03/00495

Supplemental Box

As to claim 20, Durrant teaches the method further comprising the steps of: receiving the message having the forward link discriminant in a repeater; processing the received message to include a reverse link discriminant; transmitting the processed message having the forward link discriminant and the reverse link discriminant to a base station; and processing the signal having the forward link discriminant and the reverse link discriminant to identify received transmissions having the forward link discriminant and the reverse link discriminant.

As to claim 21, Durrant teaches the method further comprising the steps of: controlling at least one of set of parameters associated with the repeater based on the whether the plurality of received signal transmissions are being transmitted via the repeater.

As to claim 37, Durrant teaches an apparatus for identifying communications transmitted via repeater, comprising: means for receiving at least one of a plurality of signal transmissions, wherein each of the signal transmissions is associated with a call associated with one of a plurality of remote stations; means for processing the plurality of received signal transmissions to identify received transmissions that include a discriminant applied by a repeater and means for designating each of the plurality of received signal transmissions as being transmitted via the repeater if the received signal transmission includes the discriminant.

As to claim 38, Durrant teaches the apparatus of claim 37, further comprising: means for associating the designated signal transmission with a monitoring characteristic.

As to claim 40, Durrant teaches the apparatus wherein the means for designating each of the plurality of received signal transmissions as being transmitted via the repeater if the received signal transmission includes the discriminant comprises: means for designating each of the plurality of received signal transmissions as being transmitted via the repeater if the received signal transmission includes the discriminant and the discriminant includes a signature associated with the repeater.

As to claim 48, Durrant teaches the plurality of signal transmissions are reverse link transmissions and the discriminant comprises a reverse link discriminant.

As to claim 49, Durrant teaches the apparatus wherein the plurality of signal transmissions are received in a base station.

As to claim 50, Durrant teaches the apparatus wherein the plurality of signal transmissions are forward link transmissions and the discriminant comprises a forward link discriminant.

As to claim 51, Durrant teaches the apparatus wherein the plurality of signal transmissions are received in a remote station.

As to claim 52, Durrant teaches the apparatus wherein the means for designating each of the plurality of received signal transmissions as being transmitted via the repeater if the received signal transmission includes a discriminant comprises: means for transmitting a signal having the forward link discriminant to a base station; and means for processing the signal having the forward link discriminant in the base station to identify received transmissions that include the forward link discriminant.

As to claim 53, Durrant teaches the apparatus further comprising: means for receiving tie message having the forward link discriminant in a repeater', means for processing the received message to include a reverse link discriminant', means for transmitting the processed message having the forward link discriminant and the reverse link discriminant to a base station; and means for processing the signal having the forward link discriminant and the reverse link discriminant to identify received transmissions having the forward link discriminant and the reverse link discriminant.

As to claim 55, Durrant teaches a method of distinguishing communications transmitted via a repeater from communications not transmitted via the repeater, comprising the steps of: receiving a signal transmission in the repeater; processing the received signal to include a discriminant having a signature associated with the repeater; and transmitting the processed received signal.

As to claim 56, Durrant teaches the method wherein the processed received signal is transmitted to a base station.

As to claim 57, Durrant teaches the method wherein the processed received 'signal is transmitted to a remote station.

As to claim 58, Durrant teaches the method wherein the step of processing the received signal to include the discriminant having the signature associated with the repeater comprises the step of: augmenting the received signal with the discriminant.

As to claim 59, Durrant teaches the method wherein the step of processing the received signal to include the discriminant having the signature associated with the repeater comprises the step of: modifying the signal according to the discriminant.

As to claim 66, Durrant teaches an apparatus for distinguishing communications transmitted via a repeater from communications not transmitted via the repeater, comprising: means for receiving a signal transmission in the repeater; means for processing the received signal to include a discriminant having a signature associated with the repeater; and means for transmitting the processed received signal.

As to claim 67, Durrant teaches the apparatus wherein the means for processing the received signal to include the discriminant having the signature associated with the repeater comprises: means for augmenting the received signal with the discriminant.

As to claim 68, Durrant teaches the apparatus wherein the means for processing the received signal to include the discriminant having the signature associated with the repeater comprises: means for modifying the signal according to the discriminant.

As to claim 69, Qurrant teaches the apparatus wherein the means for processing the received signal to include a discriminant having a signature associated with the repeater comprises: means for augmenting the signal transmission with a first frequency modulated discriminant.

Consider claim 8. Durrant teaches everything claimed except for the discriminant comprising an in-band tone. It would have been obvious tot one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Durrant to use an in band tone as the discriminant in order to utilize a well know method of signaling.

Consider claims 23,75, Durrant teaches an apparatus for identifying communications transmitted via a repeater, comprising: a receiver configured to receive a plurality of signal transmissions, wherein each of the signal transmissions is associated with a call originating from or directed to one of the plurality of remote stations; and a means configured to identify received transmission: that include a discriminant applied by a repeater and to designate each of the plurality of received signal transmissions as being transmitted via the repeater if the received signal transmission includes the discriminant. Durrant lacks a teaching of the discriminant identification process being performed by a processor. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Durrant to use a processor to perform the method in order to allow the process to be performed in a small sized package.

As to claim 24 Durrant teaches the apparatus wherein the designated signal transmission is associated with a monitoring

International application No. PCT/US03/00495

Supplemental Box

characteristic.

As to claim 26, Durrant teaches the apparatus wherein each of the plurality of received signal transmissions is designated as being transmitted via the repeater if the received signal transmission includes the discriminant and the discriminant includes a signature associated with the repeater.

As to claims 27,76 Durrant teaches the apparatus wherein the discriminant comprises a frequency modulation applied to the signal transmission from the remote station.

As to claim 33, Durrant teaches the apparatus wherein the plurality of signal transmissions are reverse link transmissions and the discriminant comprises a reverse link discriminant.

As to claim 34, Durrant teaches the apparatus wherein the transmissions are received in a base station.

As to claim 35, Durrant teaches the apparatus wherein the plurality of signal transmissions are forward link transmissions and the discriminant comprises a forward link discriminant.

As to claim 36, Durrant teaches the apparatus wherein the plurality of signal transmissions are received in a remote station. As to claim 54, Durrant teaches a method for identifying communications transmitted via a repeater from remote communications not transmitted via the repeater, the method comprising the steps of: receiving a plurality of signal transmissions from a plurality of remote stations wherein each of the signal transmissions is associated with a call associated with one of the plurality of remote stations; processing the plurality of received signal transmissions to identify received transmissions that include a discriminant applied by a repeater; and designating each of the plurality of received signal transmissions as being transmitted via the repeater if the received signal transmission includes the discriminant. Durrant lacks a teaching of a program storage device, readable by a computer, storing a program of instructions executable by the computer to perform method. It would hake been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Durrant to store the method as a program on a storage device in order to allow the method to be easily transferred and executed on another system. As to claim 65, Durrant teaches everything claimed as shown in reference to claim 55 above, except for the repeater comprising a digital television or a digital audio repeater. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teaching of Durrant in digital television or audio systems in order to allow the use of the television or audio with the location monitoring as taught by Durrant.

Claims 10, 28, 43, 60, 70 and 77 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Durrant, in view of Meslener (US 3,670,249).

Consider claims 10,28,43,60,70. Durrant teaches everything claimed as shown above except for using delay modulation to code and decode the discriminant. Meslener teaches the use of delay modulation to encode data (Meslener see especially col. 1, lines 5-57). Meslener teaches that delay modulation allows for high reliability and speed (Meslener col. 4, lines 18-37). Therefore it would have been obvious to use delay modulation in order to provide the discriminant with a coding having high reliability.

As to claim 77, note that the arrangement of Durrant in view of Meslener would have a delay element, i.e. the delay modulator as well as a combiner to add it to the signal.

Claims 3, 5, 9, 11-14, 22, 25, 29-32, 39, 41, 42, 44-47, 61-64, 71-74 and 78-82 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the following:

Consider claim 3, the nearest prior art as shown in Durrant fails to teach the method of claim 1, wherein the monitoring characteristic is selected from a group comprising: a length of the call associated with the designated signal transmissions; a number of calls associated with the designated signal transmissions; a number of dropped calls associated with the designated signal transmissions; a call start time associated with the designated signal transmission; a frame error rate (FER) associated with the designated signal transmission; a receive power associated with the designated: signal transmission; and a call type associated with the designated signal transmission.

Consider claim 22, the nearest prior art as shown in Durrant fails to teach the method of claim 21, wherein the at least one of a set of parameters is selected from a group comprising: a repeater forward link gain; a repeater reverse link gain; repeater activation; repeater deactivation; repeater forward link frequency; repeater forward link bandwidth; repeater reverse link frequency; repeater reverse link bandwidth', and repeater rebroadcast channels.

Consider claim 25, the nearest prior art as shown in Durrant fails to teach the apparatus of claim 24, wherein the monitoring characteristic is selected from the group comprising: a length of the call associated with the designated signal transmissions; a number of calls associated with the designated signal transmissions; a number of dropped calls associated with the designated signal transmissions; a call start time associated with the designated signal transmission; a frame error rate (FER) associated with the designated signal transmission; a receive power associated with the designated signal transmission; and a call type associated with the designated signal

Consider claim 39, the nearest prior ad as shown in Durrant fails to teach the apparatus wherein the monitoring characteristic is selected from a group comprising: a length of the call associated with the designated signal transmissions; a number of calls associated with the designated signal transmissions; a number of dropped calls associated with the designated signal transmissions; a call start time associated with the designated signal transmission; a frame error rate (FER) associated with the designated signal transmission; a receive power associated with the designated signal transmission; and a call type associated with the designated signal transmission.

Consider claim 5, the nearest prior art as shown in Durrant fails to teach the method of claim 4, further comprising the steps of: processing the plurality of signal transmissions to identify received transmissions that include the discriminant applied by a second repeater; and designating each of the plurality of received signal transmissions as being transmitted via the second repeater if the received signal transmission includes the discriminant and the discriminant includes a second signature associated with the second repeater.

International application No. PCT/US03/00495

Supplemental Box

Consider claims 41,42 the nearest prior art as shown in Durrant fails to teach the apparatus of claim 40, further comprising: means for processing the plurality of signal transmissions to identify received transmissions that include the discriminant applied by a second repeater', and means for designating each of the plurality of received signal transmissions as being transmitted via the second repeater if the received signal transmission includes the discriminant and the discriminant includes a second signature associated with the second repeater.

Consider claims 71-74 the nearest prior art as shown in Durrant fails to teach the apparatus of claim 70, wherein the means for processing the received signal to include a discriminant having a signature associated with the repeater further comprises: means for augmenting the signal transmission with a second delay modulated component of the signal transmission.

Consider claims 78-82, the nearest prior art as shown in Durrant fails to teach the repeater of claim 77, wherein the first delay element communicatively coupled to the receiver via a switch, and the repeater further comprises: a

second delay element, communicatively coupled to the receiver via the switch and to the combiner.

Consider claim 9, the nearest prior art as shown in Durrant fails to teach the method of claim 4, wherein the discriminant comprises power control information received at the remote station.

Consider claims 11-14, the nearest prior ad as shown in Durrant fails to teach the method of claim 10, wherein the discriminant further includes a second delay component of the signal transmission.

Consider claims 29-32, the nearest prior art as shown in Durrant fails to teach the apparatus of claim 28, wherein the discriminant further includes a second delay modulated component of the signal transmission.

Consider claims 44-47, the nearest prior art as shown in Durrant fails to teach the apparatus of claim 43, wherein the discriminant further includes a second delay component of the signal transmission.

Consider claims 61-64, the nearest prior art as shown in Durrant fails to teach the method of claim 60, wherein the step of processing the received signal to include a discriminant having a signature associated with the repeater further comprises the step of: augmenting the signal transmission with a second delay modulated component of the signal transmission.

Claims 1-82 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

--- NEW CITATIONS -----WO 01/99444 A1 (DURRANT, et al.) 27 December 2001. US 3,670,249 (MESLENER) 13 June 1972, col. 1, lines 5-57, col. 4, lines 18-37.